

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/075,650	Applicant(s) MATSUKI ET AL.
	Examiner Rose M Miller	Art Unit 2856

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Rose M Miller.

(3) _____.

(2) David Spaw.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections of claims 1 and 2

Claims discussed:

1-2 specifically

Prior art documents discussed:

Reference JP 08-201010

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Applicant's representative of Pending Withdrawal from Issue. Withdrawal from Issue is based upon newly discovered reference JP 08-201010. A rejection of claims 1 and 2 as being anticipated by newly discovered reference JP 08-201010 will be forthcoming.